United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,446	12/27/2005	Hideaki Matsuhashi	2005_1875A	3477
52349 7590 10/09/2007 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK L.L.P. 2033 K. STREET, NW			EXAMINER DAVIS, MARY ALICE	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3748	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

ŧ .	u l	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	10/562,446	MATSUHASHI, HIDEAKI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mary A. Davis	3748
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio		I I
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
· ·	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for al		tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice un		•
Disposition of Claims	• •	•
4) ☐ Claim(s) 14-21 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 14-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	thdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers	•	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 December 200 Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	$\underline{5}$ is/are: a) \square accepted or b) [to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in a priority documents have been sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	(8) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the Terminal Disclaimer filed on September 20, 2007. Upon further consideration, the Examiner has uncovered prior art references which are deemed pertinent to the patentability of the current application, and therefore, has re-opened prosecution. This is a non-final rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by BISHOP (World Intellectual Organization Publication Number WO 89/08522).

Regarding claim 14, BISHOP discloses:

- A method for machining a scroll wrap, comprising:
- forming a stationary scroll having an end plate and a scroll wrap extending from said end plate thereof (see Figures 1-2, Page 2, lines 12 - 14), said scroll wrap of said stationary scroll having a side face (see Figures 1-2 which show that the stationary scroll has a side face) (see Page 1, lines 13 - 17),
- forming a slewing scroll having an end plate and a scroll wrap extending from said end plate thereof (see Figures 3-4, Page 2, lines 12 - 14), said scroll wrap of said slewing scroll having a side face (see Figures 3-4 which show that the slewing scroll has a side face) (see Page 1, lines 13 - 17),

Art Unit: 3748

 wherein said side face of said stationary scroll wrap and said side face of said slewing scroll wrap are configured to slide with respect to each other in use
 (Page 1, lines 18 – 34); and

Page 3

 Hale-machining said side face of one of said stationary scroll wrap and said slewing scroll wrap by moving a non-rotational tool along a longitudinal direction of said one of said stationary scroll wrap and said slewing scroll wrap (see
 Figures 1, 5-7, and 12 – 17; Page 11, line 27+).

Regarding claim 15, BISHOP discloses:

 the non- rotational tool has a length greater than a height of said one of said stationary scroll wrap and said slewing scroll wrap (see Figure 7).

Regarding claim 16, BISHOP discloses:

- cutting-machining by end milling both said side face of said one of said stationary scroll wrap and said slewing scroll wrap and a surface of said end plate from which said one of said stationary scroll wrap and said slewing scroll wrap extends (see Figures 9 – 11 and 15; Page 11, lines 17 – 26 and Page 15, lines 27 - 33),
- wherein both said Hale-machining and said cutting-machining are performed while the one of said stationary scroll and said slewing scroll having said one of said stationary scroll wrap and said slewing wrap is fixed in a chuck (see Figure 15, Page 11, line 32 Page 16, line 25).

Regarding claim 17, BISHOP discloses:

Art Unit: 3748

machining a surface of said end plate from which said one of said stationary
scroll wrap and said slewing scroll wrap extends with the same non-rotational
tool used for said Hale-machining of said side face of said one of said stationary
scroll wrap and said slewing scroll wrap (see Figure 7 which shows that the end
plate is also machined with the same non-rotational tool used for Halemachining the side faces); and

Page 4

- performing a finish cutting with a different non-rotational tool than that used for said Hale-machining of said side face of said one of said stationary scroll wrap and said slewing scroll wrap (see Figures 9 – 11 and 15; Page 11, lines 17 – Page 16, line 25);
- wherein said Hale-machining, said machining, and said finish cutting are
 performed while the one of said stationary scroll and said slewing scroll having
 said one of said stationary scroll wrap and said slewing scroll wrap is fixed in a
 chuck (see Figure 15; Page 11, lines 17 Page 16, line 25).

Regarding claim 18, BISHOP discloses:

 simultaneously machining a surface of said end plate from which said one of said stationary scroll wrap and said slewing scroll wrap extends with the same non-rotational tool used for said Hale-machining of said side face of said one of said stationary scroll wrap and said slewing scroll wrap (see Figure 7 which shows that the end plate is simultaneously being machined using the same nonrotational tool (11 or 12) used for Hale-machining the side faces). Art Unit: 3748

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over BISHOP.

BISHOP discloses the claimed invention, however, fails to disclose machining a surface of the end plate with a different non-rotational tool than the Hale-machining tool, which was used for machining the side faces of the stationary scroll wrap.

It is the examiner's position that having a different non-rotational tool to machine the end-plate from the Hale-machining tool used for the side faces would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art. More specifically, one having ordinary skill in the art would have generated a separate tool in order to machine the end-face in what ever shape or configuration desired. Utilization of two tools to perform the same machining as one tool involves only routine skill in the art.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over BISHOP.

Regarding claim 20, BISHOP discloses:

said side face of said one of said stationary scroll wrap and said slewing scroll
wrap includes an inner side face and an outer side face (see Figures 1-4 which
show the inner and outer side faces of the scrolls).

Art Unit: 3748

However, he does not disclose the Hale-machining is performed on said inner side face and said outer side face in any one of an order from said inner side face to said outer side face and an order from said outer side face to said inner side face.

BISHOP discloses using two separate non-rotational tools to machine the inner and outer side faces of the scroll wraps simultaneously (see Figures 5-7), as well as, utilizing one Hale-machining tool to machine the inner and outer wraps (see Figures 12-14). It is the examiner's position that the order of machining comprising of machining the inner than the outer wraps or the outer than the inner wraps would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art when machining the scroll wraps using only one Hale machining tool. Generation of the order of machining when utilizing one Hale-machining tool involves only routine skill in the art.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over BISHOP in view of NIWA ET AL (U.S. Patent Number 4,615,091).

BISHOP discloses the claimed invention as discussed above in claim 14, however, fails to disclose the resulting surface roughness of said side face of said one of said stationary scroll wrap and said slewing scroll wrap measures one micrometer at most.

The resulting surface roughness being one micrometer at most is a design resultant variable. NIWA ET AL discloses a cutting edge that depending on the amount of indexing would result in the desired size and finish (Column 1, lines 44 – 65).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have generated a surface roughness of less than one

Art Unit: 3748

micrometer or any desired roughness, by changing the number of passes in the Hale-

Page 7

machining process of BISHOP.

Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mary A. Davis whose telephone number is (571) 272-

9965. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday; (Second Friday

off) 7am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas Denion can be reached on (571) 272-4859. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MAD

/Mary A. Davis/

Ma. D-6

10/3/07

Patent Examiner Art Unit: 3748

THOMAS DENION
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700